

21 June 1978

NOTE FOR CHAIRMAN, HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: First Meeting of the OSCOL Ad Hoc Working Group

1. The first meeting of the OSCOL ad hoc working group was held on 20 June. Attending were:

STATINTL



- Chairman, HRC
- Dep. Chairman, HRC
- Coordinator
- Alternate Coordinator
- [redacted]
- Dr. Wm. Dodge
- Dir. LC/FRD
- Dir. DoD CIRC System
- Representing [redacted]
C/RES/NFAC
- Independent Contractor

STATINTL

STAT



STATINTL

STATINTL

2. Ambassador [redacted] welcomed the members and gave a brief review of how the need arose for the working group, then turned the meeting over to [redacted]

STAT

STATINTL

3. The meeting followed the agenda (attached), covering in two hours a detailed discussion of the paper OSCOL Problems, Issues, Opportunities That Merit Working Group Attention. The tasking proposed by the Coordinator for each of the first six topics was accepted by the members. On topics 7 and 8 [redacted] questioned the need for working group attention on these matters, while Dr. Dodge felt the topics to be worthwhile and the others were undecided. The decision on whether or not to address them was deferred. Comments on the other topics went as follows:

First Topic: Who is acquiring, indexing, storing, collating, comparing, translating what for whom? All agreed that this was an essential first task, from which the other tasks extend to varying degrees. Full cooperation was pledged in the gathering of the data.

STATINTL

Second Topic: How much quality open source reporting is enough for purposes of national intelligence production? [redacted] expressed reservations about the point of this question, and expressed doubt that much of value would come from the line of inquiry. He acquiesced, however, to pro-inquiry arguments advanced by the Coordinator and [redacted]

STATINTL

STATINTL

Third Topic: Collection support to the S&T audience. It was agreed that we would wait for the STIC report before deciding what, if anything, the working group should do on this topic.

Fourth Topic: Functional shortfalls, redundancies and opportunities for improvement. No problem with any of the three sub-tasks.

Fifth Topic: Exploitation problems and opportunities. No problem with the specific questions or proposed tasking. The discussion in this section led to a general consensus that the working group members themselves need to become better acquainted with the major activities in the OSCOL world. [redacted] was asked to arrange a working group walk-through of the functions of CIA's Office of Current Reference (5.c) which he will try to arrange on Friday, 29 June. Several members expressed some confusion about the extent to which the activities of CIA's [redacted] are applicable to OSCOL. It was concluded that [redacted] could decide that, so it was agreed that the Coordinator try to arrange for [redacted] to talk to the working group on this subject. Similarly, an afternoon will be set aside for [redacted] and Dr. Dodge to bring the other members up to speed on the activities and specialties of their respective units. Lastly, [redacted] will arrange for [redacted] and [redacted] to see the FTD translation mechanism in operation and to visit FTD's supporting unit, the Battelle Institute at the University of Ohio.

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

Sixth Topic: No problem.

4. We are underway. The greatest benefit to come from the working group may well be just getting the managers of functionally related activities together to compare notes and learn from each other.

STATINTL

[redacted]
OSCOL Coordinator

Attachment:
As stated

OPENING REMARKS

- Ad-hoc working group with a short term mission & limited scope.
- HRC will develop a permanent OSCOL representation or steering group, based on WG findings.
- Conclude work by end of September.
- Work mainly on processing and exploitation part of OSCOL.
- HRC and the organizations concerned have ability to deal with field collection problems, including S & T ones .
- CTS interest: How much centralization is desirable for better orchestration of acquisition, processing, storage and retrieval of LITINT?
- Divide up work; form task forces.
- Keep WG small; call in others as the topics dictate; composition of the WG can evolve as the issues evolve.
- Arrange for necessary - but discourage unnecessary - monitoring of WG meetings.
- Bi-weekly reports to [redacted] report to STATINTL HRC members aperiodically, as appropriate.

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Human Resources Committee

OSCOL WORKING GROUP

HRC-OSCOL-A-001
15 June 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members, Ad-Hoc OSCOL Working Group

SUBJECT: Agenda and Reading Material for
the Initial Meeting

1. Agenda, first meeting of the OSCOL Working Group (WG), Room BW-07, Community Headquarters Building, Tuesday, 20 June 1978, 1100 hours.

- STAT
- a. Recap of WG mission, proposed methodology,
duration - [redacted]
 - b. Agreement on problems, issues and opportunities
that merit attention - Group
 - c. Dividing up the tasking - Group
 - d. Identifying key persons available for task
force participation - Group
 - e. Establish tentative timetables, and other
business - Group

2. Attached are some written materials which, if studied ahead of time, will facilitate a, b and c above.



STATINTL

HRC Secretariat

Attachments:
As stated

OSCOL WORKING GROUP

	Name	Title	Telephone Nos.	
25X1		(CTS and HRC Secretariat) Coordination of, and staff support to, working group activities; preparation of working group reporting to Chairman, HRC		25X1
STATINTL				
25X1	Dr. Wm. Dodge	Director, Federal Research Division, Library of Congress	Black - AC 202 42 5 -5900	25X1
STATINTL		Chief, Requirements and Evaluation Staff, NFAC AF/FTD; Director of DoD CIRC System		25X1

OSCOL Problems, Issues, Opportunities
that Merit Working Group Attention

1. Question: Who is acquiring, indexing, storing, collating, comparing, translating, analyzing what for whom? This data gathering task was specifically assigned by the HRC as an essential first step supportive of both working group and subsequent HRC actions in the OSCOL area. When complete, the data will be packaged as a reference document and as a briefing package, for use by interested parties. Proposed action officer:

STATINTL

2. Question: How much quality open source reporting is enough for purposes of national intelligence production? Each mode of collection/reporting contributes in some manner that is unique, so generally speaking a mix of inputs is necessary for national intelligence production. Assuming that all of the open source information available to intelligence analysts is top quality, timely material, there remains a "mix saturation point" beyond which the essentialness of additional open source input must lessen considerably. From the vantage point of the production community, where is open source reporting now in terms of optimum practical input into national intelligence production by CIA, State/INR and DIA? Proposed action officer: working with production office managers of his choosing in CIA, State/INR

STATINTL

NOTE: The flip side of this question would address open source reporting as actionable intelligence, which involves different collection rationale and a different audience group. Example:

- a. FBIS' 24-hour wire service (see distribution list)
- b. FBIS' Daily Report (see distribution list)
- c. Foreign military and other handbooks produced and regularly updated by the LC/FRD (Soviet Armed Forces OB; foreign military uniforms and insignia; military aircraft markings; etc.)
- d. Collection directly supportive of Government R&D personnel.
- e. Maps and publications acquired for non-intelligence departments and agencies.

The supply of actionable open source reporting is closely regulated by demand, and often is spot collection for one-time needs. It does not appear to be a problem area, so it is suggested that the working group need not address the question "How much actionable OSCOL is enough?"

3. Collection Support to the S&T Audience: The Scientific and Technical Intelligence Committee (STIC) working group has produced a penultimate draft of an extensive examination of

collection to support the needs of producers and users of S&T information. A first reading of the draft indicates that most of the recommendations therein can be addressed directly by the HRC, the DCI's Collection Tasking Staff, or the departments and agencies concerned. The Chairman, HRC will review the final product and decide whether to ask this working group to act on any part of the paper. At the same time, it would be useful for OSCOL working group members to be aware of the findings of the STIC working group, especially as relate to problems that new analysts have in determining what open source material is available. To that end copies of the STIC report will be made available for reference.

4. Functional Short-falls, Redundancies and Opportunities for Improvement:

- a. Translation. The working group is asked to avoid debating the relative merits of human translation, machine-aided human translation, and machine translation, while examining Intelligence Community and other translation activities in terms of opportunities for resource and functional efficiencies. Such opportunities, if they exist, might be realized by agreed trade-offs in "service of common concern" responsibilities relative to translation tasks, formation of Community Translation Steering Group, or some other form of collective planning

STATINTL

to make the translation task as efficient as possible on a Community-wide basis. This task should include a review of contributor/user participation in the Consolidated Translation Survey and the practicality of expanding the scope of that activity and tightening Community procedures for pre-translation coordination. It is proposed that [redacted] be the working group action officer on this task, and that he work through a task force of selected middle management personnel from Air Force FTD, DIA, [redacted] and appropriate other elements.

STATINTL

b. FBIS, LC/FRD and BMI. On a larger scale, the abstracting, extracting, review and study capabilities of FBIS, the Library of Congress' Federal Research Division, the Battelle Memorial Institute in Columbus, Ohio, and possibly other entities should be critiqued from the standpoint of the NFIP service which they provide, or are capable of providing for the Community. Emphasis here is on optimizing Community benefit of services being performed under one or another NFIP program units, and exploring for efficiencies through new "service of common concern" arrangements. It is proposed that [redacted] and STATINTL the staff coordinator look into this together.

c. Internetting Library Facilities. A variety of individual organizational arrangements exist with libraries inside and outside of the Intelligence Community, and among libraries, in support of NFIP open source collectors and/or intelligence production offices. There exists an impression, perhaps unjustified, that some

aspect of OSCOL activity in regard to two questions:

(1) Is a more coordinated or collective approach to use of libraries to support NFIP activities needed? (2) Have the existing capabilities of libraries for support of NFIP activities been fully developed? The Chairman, HRC asks that arrangements with libraries relative to the maps and publications acquisition programs be exempted from this exercise, as they are being reviewed by the Chairman in another context. The working group should be fully briefed on the maps and publications programs, however. It is proposed that [] be the working group action officer on this task.

25X1

5. Exploitation Problems and Opportunities: Opinions vary as to whether open source reporting, in particular foreign literature, is being exploited to its full potential. The working group is being asked to examine the exploitation situation and recommend such changes as may appear appropriate. The following specific questions may be appropriate, at the discretion of the working group, but should not serve to limit the scope of inquiry:

a. Question: Is open source reporting getting to production analysts in an organized way and on a timely basis so that optimum exploitation is feasible?

- b. Question: Would some manner of centralized oversight of Community-wide activities relative to screening, selection, "gisting", "batching", storage and retrieval of open source materials significantly enhance exploitation of OSCOL products?
- c. Question: What would be practical toward optimizing the data storage and retrieval capability of CIA's Office of Central Reference in "service of common concern" support of abstracting, extracting, "gisting", "batching" etc. of open source data, and getting OSCOL products to intelligence analysts in a timely and usable manner?
- d. Question: Would it be useful toward enhancing exploitation of OSCOL products for the HRC to sponsor a continuing OSCOL training and orientation program -- a walk through of the open source community -- to update collectors, processors and analysts alike on who is doing (or could do) what for whom?

STATINTL

It is proposed that [redacted] carry the principal working group burden on these and related exploitation questions. All members have a stake in this action and any recommendations that come out of these deliberations must enjoy a broad base of support if they are to succeed.

6. Putting More Intelligence Information into the Public Domain: It is proposed that the working group ask [redacted] of the STATINTL Department of Commerce's Office of Intelligence Liaison, to explore this subject with persons whom the working group can designate, plus others of his choosing, and formulate recommendations.
7. OSCOL Resource Data Call: It is contended that open source collection is an especially cost effective (resource outlay compared to worth of intelligence contribution) NFIP planning option, and therefore merits more high level management support. This may be so, but it can not be documented because no means exist to tally up overall NFIP open source collection man-years and costs. The OSCOL man-year commitment and costs reportedly are not specifically identified in departmental accounting systems, although CIA and DIA can document the contribution that open source reporting makes to their evaluated intelligence products. It is not a requirement of the HRC, the DCI's Resource Management Staff or his Collection Tasking Staff, but this working group could serve as^a forum for developing the man-year and dollar cost figures associated with open source collection at the initiative of the departments and agencies concerned. It is proposed that [redacted] sound out appropriate program managers in CIA, State and DIA on this matter and pursue it if a concensus develops to do so. The reporting of such man-year and cost data at program call time would take place in normal program channels.

STATINTL

Approved For Release 2003/04/23 : CIA-RDP90-00509R000100030018-5

STATINTL



Approved For Release 2003/04/23 : CIA-RDP90-00509R000100030018-5

Suggested Parameters of Open Source Collection

A. Included in OSCOL

1. FBIS activities.
2. Foreign maps and publications acquisition activities of the "foreign affairs community."
3. Additional foreign printed material that is supportive of national intelligence requirements and which is openly acquired by U.S. Missions in foreign countries, principally by commercial, science, treasury, and labor attaches, and Public Affairs Officers. This does not include Mission political and military reporting, the product of clandestine collection, or commentary originated by members of the Mission.
4. Unclassified printed reports or other material supportive of national intelligence requirements, available from US contractors (example: RAND Corp.) and the US business sector.
5. The part of unclassified foreign information holdings of non-intelligence departments, agencies and organizations, that is supportive of national intelligence requirements.
6. The activities of the Library of Congress' Federal Research Division.
7. The DIA's S&T Intelligence Information Support Program (STIISP); the Central Information Reference and Control (CIRC) system administered by AF/FTD.
8. Commercial reference retrieval services (cite: Bell & Howell's TRANSDEX; Lockheed Corporation's DIALOG) to the extent that they are conducted for, or available to, the Intelligence Community in connection with OSCOL activities.
9. The Internet of Intelligence Community and other Libraries to support OSCOL activities.

CONFIDENTIAL

10. Other Intelligence Community activities relating to the collection, processing (incl. translation), analysis, distribution or use of open source material.

B. Not Included in OSCOL

1. The IC program of exchange of finished intelligence with foreign intelligence/security services.

[Redacted]

STAT

3. The foreign information product of the Interagency .
Defector Committee, the Committee on Exchanges, or other
DCI committees exclusive of the HRC.
4. Diplomatic traffic from U.S. Missions except as noted in
A, 3 above.
5. Defense Attache reporting except as may be supportive of
specified OSCOL activities.
6. Acquisition of information or material by clandestine
means.
7. Counterintelligence.
8. Any other form of collection/reporting not specified to
be OSCOL.